

Beaufort High 70100

PERF	RMANCE '	TRENDS	OVER 4	1-YFAR	PERIOD
- 17-		INCINCO			

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Average	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
			High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	66.4	51.1	59.2	64.2	62.8	63.1	
Passed 2 subtests	16.4	17.8	19.2	17.7	18.0	17.8	
Passed 1 subtest	10.7	15.3	11.7	10.6	12.1	11.1	
Passed no subtests	6.4	15.8	8.1	7.5	7.0	7.4	

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS							
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003 Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		for LIFE ships*	Graduation Rate			
All Students	n 256	% 91.0	n 246	% 1.2	n 358	% 64.8	
Gender	200	01.0	240	1.2	000	04.0	
Male	112	89.3	116	1.7	178	60.1	
Female	144	92.4	130	0.8	180	69.4	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	114	89.5	126	8.0	188	64.9	
Hispanic	10	80.0	10	0.0	15	53.3	
White	130	93.8	106	1.9	152	63.2	
Other	2	I/S	4	I/S	3	I/S	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	1	I/S	1	I/S	20	10.0	
Students without disabilities	255	91.4	245	1.2	338	68.0	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	246	1.2	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	10	0.0	3	I/S	
Non-LEP	254	90.9	236	1.3	352	63.6	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	97	0.0	156	57.1	
Full-pay meals	254	90.9	149	2.0	202	70.8	
n = number of students on which percentage is calculated							

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at	1.2	10.2
four-year institutions*		
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	1.2	10.5
Seniors who met the grade point average	45.1	49.6

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Beaufort High 701002

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,615)				
Retention rate	0.1%	Down from 11.6%	8.1%	7.3%
Attendance rate	98.0%	Up from 97.9%	95.4%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	14.7%	Down from 17.9%	4.9%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	8.6%	Up from 7.9%	12.2%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	9.7%	Up from 9.3%	10.7%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	24.0%	Up from 3.8%	2.6%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	18.1%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.1%	Down from 2.5%	2.7%	2.7%
	3.4%	Up from 0.0%	4.5%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 730	Up from 139	466	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	23.9%	Up from 15.9%	20.4%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	78.2%	Down from 79.1%	75.4%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	I/S	99.7%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 98)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	44.9%	Down from 45.9%	46.6%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	63.3%	Down from 76.5%	80.0%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	80.3%	Down from 82.4%	85.3%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.7%	Down from 95.0%	95.8%	95.8%
	\$40,721	Up 6.0%	\$39,852	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	12.6 days	Down from 17.0 days	10.9 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	No change	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	30.9 to 1	Up from 29.6 to 1	26.8 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.5%	Down from 89.7%	90.1%	90.1%
	\$5,572	Up 1.5%	\$6,191	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	48.5%	Down from 55.6%	57.3%	57.8%
	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.2%	Up from 98.5%	93.9%	87.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	,00		,,,,	,555

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Beaufort High 701002

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Beaufort High School is a comprehensive 9-12 school. In 2000 the school moved into a brand new building. The space is outstanding and provides the staff and students with an exceptional learning environment. The school has significant access to technology with computers in every class, lab availability, wireless Internet access, over 100 laptops that can be checked out for student or staff use, etc. The school has experienced some high staff turnover during the last three years but is now seeing that come to an end. the school is expecting to appoint a new principal during the 2003-04 school year. The school is especially proud of the inclusive nature of its programs, notably special education, the extent and popularity of its arts program and the gowth in the International Baccalaureate Program.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
Teachers Students Parents						
Number of surveys returned	40	0	0			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	57.5%	N/R	N/R			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	72.5%	N/R	N/R			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	35.9%	N/R	N/R			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.